

Responsible Peer Review Procedure

Version	Approved by		Approval date	Effective date	Next full review		
1.3	Director of Governance	ce 25 February 2021		25 February 2021	June 2017		
Proced	ure Statement						
Purpose		This Procedure describes the principles and professional responsibilities of researchers and research trainees undertaking peer review activities. It has been developed to meet the standards set out in the <u>Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research</u> and the <u>Singapore Statement on Research Integrity</u> and should be read in conjunction with the <u>UNSW Research Code of Conduct</u> .					
Scope		This Procedure applies to all researchers of UNSW. Undergraduate and postgraduate coursework students are excluded from the scope of this Procedure.					
Are Local Documents on this subject permitted?		· ·	ocal Documents must not iversity-wide Codes of Co cedures.	□ No			
Procedure Processes and Actions							

1. Introduction

Responsible peer review ensures that research meets accepted disciplinary standards and ensures the dissemination of only relevant findings, free from bias, unwarranted claims, and unacceptable interpretations.

The Responsible Peer Review Procedure (Procedure) covers the principles of peer review and the responsibilities of researchers and research trainees undertaking peer review activities and is part of the UNSW research governance framework. It should be read with other laws and UNSW policies, procedures and guidelines.

This Procedure stands beside but does not exclude or replace other legally binding researcher obligations. No part of this Procedure is a substitute for personal responsibility.

2. Procedure

2.1. Principles of Responsible Peer Review

The following principles of research integrity apply to responsible peer review:

- 1. HONESTY in all aspects of research;
- 2. ACCOUNTABILITY in the conduct of research;
- 3. PROFESSIONAL COURTESY & FAIRNESS in working with others; and
- 4. **GOOD STEWARDSHIP** of research on behalf of others.

2.2. Responsibilities

The following responsibilities of peer review apply to researchers at all stages of peer review:

2.2.1. Fairness				
Be fair and seen to be fair by all involved throughout the peer review process.				

2.2.2. Transparency

Remain transparent throughout all stages of the peer review process.

2.2.3. Independence

Provide independent advice, as required, during the peer review process.

2.2.4. Appropriateness and balance

Only undertake peer review if you have appropriate experience, expertise and knowledge.

2.2.5. Participation

Make available time to participate in peer review processes, including mentoring of research trainees, whenever possible.

2.2.6. Confidentiality

Ensure the fairness and robustness of peer review and ensure that the content and/or outcomes of any process are treated as confidential. Unless mentoring research trainees in responsible peer review, do not discuss work under review with others.

2.2.7. Impartiality

Remain objective, impartial, free from bias; do not consider matters that are not relevant to the review criteria. Do not attempt to interfere with the process or seek to influence its outcomes when your own work is undergoing peer review. Notify the relevant organisation for which peer review is being conducted if any conflict of interest arises during the peer review process and seek advice from the organisation (for example, a UNSW Research Integrity Advisor, funding agency or journal) whether to continue or cease review.

2.2.8. Timeliness

Provide comments in a prompt manner and submit on time. Contact the relevant organisation as soon as possible if unable to complete the review or provide a report, as required, on time.

2.2.9. Quality and excellence

Conduct yourself in accordance with best practices.

2.2.10. Professionalism

Ensure that comments provided are professional and detailed enough to allow appropriate assessment of the work.

2.2.11. Duty to report

Notify the relevant organisation (journal, funding agency, or, if UNSW researcher, then a UNSW Research Integrity Advisor) immediately if you detect any irregularities, have any concerns about ethical aspects of the work, are aware of substantial similarity between the manuscript and a concurrent submission to another journal or a published article, or suspect that misconduct may have occurred during either the research or the writing and submission of the manuscript. Maintain confidentiality and do not personally investigate the matter further, unless the relevant organisation asks for further information or advice.

3. Breaches of the Procedure

- **3.1.** This Procedure forms part of the <u>UNSW Research Code of Conduct</u>. The Director, Conduct and Integrity may determine that a breach of this Procedure may be dealt with as a breach of the Research Code of Conduct.
- 3.2. Examples of actions that can be deemed breaches of this Procedure include, but are not limited to:
 - 3.2.1. Intentionally delaying the review process
 - 3.2.2. Using information from pieces under review without permission
 - 3.2.3. Breaching applicable confidentiality provisions
 - 3.2.4. Failing to declare and/or manage any conflict of interest
 - 3.2.5. Conducting and undertaking peer review without sufficient experience, expertise or knowledge
 - 3.2.6. Making inappropriate comments on the work of researchers being peer reviewed.
- **3.3.** Alleged breaches of this Procedure are handled in the first instance in accordance with the *Research Misconduct Procedure.*

4. Roles & Responsibilities

In addition to the responsibilities and Principles outlined in this Procedure, the following additional responsibilities apply:

4.1. UNSW Conduct and Integrity

The UNSW Conduct & Integrity Office is responsible for the development, promulgation, implementation and review of this Procedure.

4.2. Research Integrity Advisors

Research Integrity Advisors will be available to offer advice and clarification on matters related to this Procedure. Research Integrity Advisors will assist in the local promulgation of this Procedure.

4.3. Heads of School & Centre Directors

Heads of School and Centre Directors will assist in the local promulgation of this Procedure. Heads of Schools and Centre Directors are responsible for creating an environment which allows researchers to carry out their responsibilities under this Procedure through the provision of appropriate resources and facilities.

4.4. Supervisors of Research Trainees

Supervisors of Research Trainees are responsible for ensuring that research trainees are appropriately trained and mentored in responsible peer review, as set out in this Procedure. When Supervisors are mentoring research trainees, they take responsibility for ensuring confidentiality of the peer review process.

5. Support & Advice

Research Integrity Advisors are the first point of contact for support and advice on this Procedure.

Information can also be sought from:

UNSW Conduct &Integrity

Email: research.integrity@unsw.edu.au

Phone: (02) 9065 7252

6. Further information

Information and advice on this Procedure is available from:

- the Research Gateway
- Inside UNSW
- University Research Committee
- Research Integrity Advisors
- Heads of School and Centre Directors.

7. Acknowledgements

UNSW acknowledges the following sources in the development of this Procedure:

- The Singapore Statement on Research Integrity, which was written by participants of the World Congress on Research Integrity.
- The NHMRC Principles of Peer Review
- Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

Accountabilities			
Responsible Officer	Deputy Vice-Chancellor Research & Enterprise		
Contact Officer	Director, Conduct & Integrity		
Supporting Information			
Legislative Compliance	This Procedure supports the University's compliance with the following legislation: Nil		
Parent Document (Policy)	Research Code of Conduct		
Supporting Documents	Nil		
Related Documents	Code of Conduct Conflict of Interest Policy Conferring Academic Titles Policy Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research Research Misconduct Procedure Authorship and Resolving Disputes Between Authors Procedure Handling Research Material and Data Procedure Higher Degree Research Supervision Policy Higher Degree Research Supervision Guidelines Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research NHMRC Principles of Peer Review		
Superseded Documents	Procedure for Responsible Peer Review version 1.2		
File Number	2021/006063		

Responsible Peer Review Procedure Version: 1.3 Effective 25 February 2021

Definitions and Acronyms								
Conflict of interest		Conflict of interest is to be understood in the context of the UNSW <i>Conflict of Interest Policy</i> . A conflict of interest involves a conflict between the public duty and private interests of a public official, in which the public official has private interests which could improperly influence the performance of their official duties and responsibilities ¹						
Early Career Researcher		Researcher who is within five years of the start of their research career.						
Higher Degree Research Candidate		UNSW enrolled candidate undertaking a graduate research program.						
Peer review		Peer review is the 'impartial and independent assessment of the scholarly work, research, or ideas of others who have knowledge and/or expertise in the same or related field' ² . Peer review includes, but is not limited to, group meetings and assessment of theses, grant applications, conference abstracts, and research works submitted for publication or display including books and creative works.						
Peer reviewer/s		UNSW researcher or research trainee engaged in peer review.						
Publication		Any output where peer review has been requested, including but not limited to: books, journals, conference papers, literary and scholarly works.						
Research		Original investigation undertaken to gain knowledge, understanding and insight ³ .						
Researcher		Any Higher Degree Research Student, any UNSW employed staff member conducting research, or any person conducting research who has been conferred an academic title by UNSW in accordance with the <i>Conferring Academic Titles Policy.</i>						
Research Integrity Advisor		Deputy/Associate Deans (Research) appointed by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor, Research & Enterprise to provide advice on the responsible conduct of research and processes for dealing with allegations of research misconduct.						
Research Trainee		Higher degree research candidate or early career researcher.						
Revisio	n History							
Version	Approved by		Approval date	Effective date	Sections modified			
1.0	Vice-President and Vice-Chancellor (Re		2 June 2014	2 June 2014	This is a new Procedure			
1.1	Acting Head of Governance		18 February 2016	29 February 2016	Administrative update to senior roles.			
1.2	Deputy Vice-Chancellor Academic		25 July 2017	15 August 2017	Administrative update to senior positions			
	Director of Governance		25 February 2021	25 February 2021	Changed Responsible Officer to DVCRE and responsibility in section 3.1 and 4.1;and			
1.3					Updated titles in section 5 and 6, links to key reference documents and Conduct & Integrity Office contact details.			

¹ UNSW Conflict of Interest Policy ² Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research, Chapter 6 ³ Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research