

On the relationship between insurers insureds and intermediaries: a Cooperative Game Theory model approach

AFRIC 2023
UNSW
Victoria Falls - Zimbabwe

**Bertrand MBAMA ENGOULOU, Doriane YONGA,
Christian TASSAK DEFO, Louis Aimé FONO**
Research Group in Applied Mathematics to Social Science
Laboratory of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
University of Douala - Cameroon

Roadmap

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Literature review on Cooperative Games
- 3 Cooperative Game with Multi-cooperation : Contributions
 - Theoretical results : Contributions
 - Application of obtained results to insurance market with an intermediate : Two main contributions
- 4 Concluding remarks

Background

Determine the conditions for the optimal presence of insurance brokers in their relations with insurers (Insurance companies).

Existing contribution

Eckardt M. (2007) Insurance intermediation : An Economic Analysis of the Information Services Market, *In Contributions to Economics Series 22*, Springer, Physica-Verlag.

- 1 Analyzed the question with one insurer, an insured and an intermediary.
- 2 Propose a condition "IE" under which it is beneficial for the insurer to cooperate with Intermediary in signing a contract.

Drawback of existing contribution

- 1 No formal underlying model or theory in her framework.
- 2 What become her results when we have many insurers

Idea and history

Idea and two observations

- 1 Use Cooperative Game Theory
- 2 Insurer can form a coalition with an insured (contract managed between insurer and insured without intermediary) and the same insurer can form a coalition with another insured and the intermediary.
- 3 In the classical theory of cooperative games, a player can only participate in one and only one coalition.

History of Game Theory in Actuarial or Insurance :
Lemaire (1991), Dutang et al. (2013) and Asimit and Boonen (2018).

Objective

Formalize and study the interactions between insurer, insured and intermediary through cooperative games with multiple cooperation.

Specific objectives

- Extend first notions on CG to have first notions on CG with multiple Cooperation
- Study stability of the new game
- Application of obtained results to formalize relationships in Insurance

Definition : Game, payoff and Core

Definition

- 1 A cooperative game (shortly CG) : (N, v)
 N : set of players (actors, economic agents)
 v : mapping from $\mathcal{P}(N)$ to \mathbb{R} satisfying $v(\emptyset) = 0$.
- 2 A payoff (allocation) of (N, v) : $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying efficiency, that is, $x(N) = \sum_{i \in N} x_i = v(N)$.
- 3 A payoff $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is :
 - individually rational if $\forall i \in N, x_i \geq v(i)$,
 - collectively rational if $\forall S \in 2^N, x(S) = \sum_{i \in S} x_i \geq v(S)$.
- 4 $\chi(N, v)$: the set of all payoffs of the game (N, v) .

Definition

Dominance between payoffs

Let (N, v) be a CG, $S \in 2^N$ and $x, y \in \chi(N, v)$.

- ① y dominates x through S if $\forall i \in S, y_i > x_i$ and $y(S) \leq v(S)$.
- ② x is dominated if $\exists y \in \chi(N, v), \exists S \in 2^N$ such that y dominates x through S .

Core of the CG (N, v) : definition and known result

- ① Core of the CG (N, v) : the subset of $\chi(N, v)$ made up of all non-dominated payoffs.
- ② (N, v) is stable if $\mathcal{C}(N, v) \neq \emptyset$.

Known results on characterization of core elements :

$$\mathcal{C}(N, v) = \{x \in \chi(N, v) : \forall S \in 2^N, x(S) \geq v(S)\}.$$

Non emptiness of the core of the CG (N, v)

Definition : balancedness coefficients

- 1 A nonempty family of coalitions ζ of N is balanced if there exists a sequence $\gamma = (\gamma_T)_{T \in \zeta}$ of positive reals numbers satisfying :
$$\forall i \in N, \sum_{T \in \zeta, i \in T} \gamma_T = 1.$$
- 2 (N, v) is balanced if for all balanced family of coalitions ζ of N with balancedness coefficients $(\gamma_T)_{T \in \zeta}$, we have : $\sum_{T \in \zeta} \gamma_T v(T) \leq v(N)$.

$(\gamma_T)_{T \in \zeta}$: balancedness coefficients of ζ .

NSC for non-emptiness of the core or stability of CG (N, v)

Theorem (Bondareva 1963 and Shapley 1967)

A CG (N, v) is stable if and only if it is balanced.

Cooperation in Insurance with Multi-cooperation : An Example

- $N = \{A, a_1, a_2, I\}$: set of four players with A : an insurer, a_1 and a_2 : two insureds and I : intermediary.
- Some possible cooperations (recoverings on N) :
 - 1 $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{\{A, a_1, a_2, I\}\} = \{N\}$
 - 2 $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{\{A, a_1, a_2\}; \{I\}\}$
 - 3 $\mathcal{S}_3 = \{\{A, a_1, I\}; \{A, a_2\}\}$
 - 4 $\mathcal{S}_4 = \{\{A, a_1, I\}; \{A, a_2, I\}\}$
 - 5 $\mathcal{S}_5 = \{\{A, a_1\}; \{A, a_2\}; \{I\}\}$

Analysis of Example

- 1 $\mathcal{S}_3, \mathcal{S}_4$ and \mathcal{S}_5 : the multiple cooperations with the presence of insurer (A) in each coalition,
Recoverings : Union of their subsets is N .
- 2 Particular recovering : \mathcal{S}_1

Cooperative Game with Multi-cooperation

Definition of SCG and SCGMC

- ① A structured cooperative game (shortly SCG) : (N, v, \mathcal{S}) where (N, v) is a CG and \mathcal{S} is a recovering of N .
- ② Cooperative game with multiple cooperations (shortly SCGMC) : The SCG (N, v, \mathcal{S}) where some elements of \mathcal{S} are not pairwise disjoint, that is, \mathcal{S} is not a partition of N .

Payoff of a Cooperative Game with Multi-cooperation

Let (N, v, \mathcal{S}) be a SCG with $\mathcal{S} = \{R_1, \dots, R_m\}$.

Payoff of (N, v, \mathcal{S}) : a sequence $x = \{x_{i,k}\}_{i \in N, 1 \leq k \leq m}$ of real numbers satisfying efficiency on coalitions of the recovering \mathcal{S} , that is,

$$\forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, x(R_k) = \sum_{i \in R_k} x_{i,k} = v(R_k). \quad (1)$$

Dominance between payoffs in a SCG (N, v, \mathcal{S}) with $\mathcal{S} = \{R_1, \dots, R_m\}$.

Two payoffs of (N, v, \mathcal{S}) : $x = \{x_{i,k}\}_{i \in N, 1 \leq k \leq m}$ and $y = \{y_{i,k}\}_{i \in N, 1 \leq k \leq m}$.

① y dominates x if

$$\exists k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \exists S \subseteq R_{k_0} \text{ such that } \sum_{i \in S} x_{i,k_0} < \sum_{i \in S} y_{i,k_0} \leq v(S).$$

② x is dominated in the SCG (N, v, \mathcal{S}) if there exists a payoff z of (N, v, \mathcal{S}) that dominates x .

Core of a SCG (N, v, \mathcal{S}) with $\mathcal{S} = \{R_1, \dots, R_m\}$: $\mathcal{C}(N, v, \mathcal{S})$.

$\mathcal{C}(N, v, \mathcal{S})$: the set of non-dominated payoffs.

Cooperative Game with Multi-cooperation : 1st main result

Characterization of elements of $\mathcal{C}(N, v, \mathcal{S})$

Let $x = \{x_{i,k}\}_{i \in N, 1 \leq k \leq m}$ be a payoff of (N, v, \mathcal{S}) be a SCG with $\mathcal{S} = \{R_1, \dots, R_m\}$.

$x \in \mathcal{C}(N, v, \mathcal{S})$ if and only if

$$\forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \forall S \subseteq R_k, \sum_{i \in S} x_{i,k} \geq v(S).$$

Cooperative Game with Multi-cooperation : On the path of stability

Definition

Let (N, v, \mathcal{S}) be a SCG with $\mathcal{S} = \{R_1, \dots, R_m\}$.

- ① A \mathcal{S} -family is a sequence $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ satisfying $\forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \mathcal{F}_k$ is a family of non empty subsets of R_k .
- ② A \mathcal{S} -family $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ is balanced if for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, there exists a family of positive real numbers $(\lambda_{\zeta_k})_{\zeta_k \in \mathcal{F}_k}$ satisfying :

$$\forall i \in N, \forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \sum_{i \in \zeta_k} \lambda_{\zeta_k} = 1.$$

- ③ The SCG (N, v, \mathcal{S}) is balanced if for all balanced \mathcal{S} -family $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m}$, with balancedness coefficients $(\lambda_{\zeta_k})_{\zeta_k \in \mathcal{F}_k}$, we have :

$$\forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \sum_{\zeta_k \in \mathcal{F}_k} \lambda_{\zeta_k} v(\zeta_k) \leq v(R_k).$$

Cooperative Game with Multi-cooperation : 2nd main result

Theorem : about stability of a SGC (N, v, \mathcal{S}) or non-emptiness of its core
 (N, v, \mathcal{S}) is stable if and only if it is balanced.

Insurance market model : set of players and some hypothesis

Three types of players in an insurance market

- insurer : A ; n insureds ($n \in \mathbb{N}^*$) : a_1, \dots, a_n ; and the intermediary : I .
- Set of players :

$$N = \{A, a_1 \cdots, a_n, I\}. \quad (2)$$

$N_a = \{a_1 \cdots, a_n\}$ the subset of N made up of insureds. We have :
 $N = N_a \cup \{A, I\}$ and 2^{N_a} is the set of all coalitions of insureds.

Two other hypotheses about recovering of N in an insurance market

Assumption H_3 : insurance is compulsory and therefore, any coalition which contains at least one insured must contain the insurer.

Assumption H_4 : only the insurer can simultaneous participate to direct and intermediary contracts.

Insurance market model : Coalitions gains (inspired from Eckardt, 2007)

Gross gain of in exchange between the insurer and the insured a_i and the characteristic function

- Direct cooperation : $V_{a_i}^D - C_{a_i}^D - (T_{insured}^D + T_{insurer}^D)$.
- Intermediary exchange : $V_{a_i}^I - C_{a_i}^I - (T_{insured}^I + T_{insurer}^I)$.

$v(K)$ is given by :

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{a \in K \cap N_a} (V_a^D - C_a^D) - (T_{K \cap N_a}^D + T_{ins, K \cap N_a}^D) & \text{if } A \in K, \exists a_i \in K \text{ and } I \notin K, \\ \sum_{a \in K \cap N_a} (V_a^I - C_a^I) - (T_{K \cap N_a}^I + T_{ins, K \cap N_a}^I) & \text{if } A \in K, \exists a_i \in K \text{ and } I \in K, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Insurance market model : First contribution with Game Theory approach

Proposition : Third main result

Let (N, v, S) be a ISCG with $S = \{\{A, a_1, I\}; \dots; \{A, a_n, I\}\}$. The following assertions are equivalent.

① IE_1 is satisfied

$$\forall a_i \in N_a, V_{a_i}^D - C_{a_i}^D - (T_{\{a_i\}}^D + T_{ins, \{a_i\}}^D) \leq V_{a_i}^I - C_{a_i}^I - (T_{\{a_i\}}^I + T_{ins, \{a_i\}}^I).$$

② $C(N, v, S) \neq \emptyset$.

IE_1 : extension of condition IE (Eckardt, 2007) :

$$(V^D - C^D) - (T_{insured}^D + T_{insurer}^D) < (V^I - C^I) - (T_{insured}^I + T_{insurer}^I).$$

Insurance market model : Impact of the structure of coalitions on stability through an example

Set of players and various amounts

$$N = \{A, a_1, a_2, I\}$$

$$1) V_{a_1}^D = V_{a_2}^D = 15 \text{ and } V_{a_1}^I = V_{a_2}^I = 14.5.$$

$$2) C_{a_1}^D = C_{a_2}^D = 9 \text{ and } C_{a_1}^I = C_{a_2}^I = 8.$$

$$3) T_{\{a_1\}}^D = T_{\{a_1\}}^I = 3, T_{\{a_1, a_2\}}^D = 4, T_{\{a_1\}}^I = T_{\{a_1\}}^I = 2 \text{ and } T_{\{a_1, a_2\}}^I = 6.$$

$$4) T_{ins, \{a_1\}}^D = T_{ins, \{a_1\}}^I = 1, T_{ins, \{a_1, a_2\}}^D = 1, T_{ins, \{a_1\}}^I = T_{ins, \{a_1\}}^I = 1, \text{ and } T_{ins, \{a_1, a_2\}}^I = 1.$$

Characteristic function v

$\{A, a_1, a_2, I\}$	$\{A, a_1, a_2\}$	$\{A, a_i, I\} \quad i=1,2$	$\{A, a_i\} \quad i=1,2$	others
6	7	3.5	2	0

Insurance market model : Impact of the structure of coalitions on stability through an example

Impact of the structure of coalitions on stability

- For $\mathcal{S} = \{\{A, a_1, I\}; \{A, a_2, I\}\}$:
 (N, v, \mathcal{S}) satisfies condition IE_1 . Thus $\mathcal{C}(N, v, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$.
- For $\mathcal{S} = \{N\}$: $\mathcal{C}(N, v, \{N\}) = \mathcal{C}(N, v) = \emptyset$.

Insurance market model : second contribution with Game Theory approach

ISGC with intermediary exchange

The ISGC (N, v, \mathcal{S}) is in an intermediary exchange if $I \in \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{S}} K$.

Proposition : Fourth main result

Let (N, v, \mathcal{S}) be a ISGC with an intermediary exchange.

The following assertions are equivalent :

- 1 Condition IE_2 is satisfied and the total transaction cost mapping T is sub-additive.
- 2 $\mathcal{C}(N, v, \mathcal{S}) \neq \emptyset$.

$T : 2^{N_a} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ where $\forall F \in 2^{N_a}, T(F) = T_F^I + T_{ins,F}^I$.

T is sub-additive, that is,

$\forall F, G \in 2^{N_a}, F \cap G = \emptyset \implies T(F \cup G) \leq T(F) + T(G)$.

Condition IE_2 : second extension of condition IE $\forall K \subseteq N$ with $A \in K$,

$$\left(\sum_{a \in K \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}} (V_a^D - C_a^D) \right) - (T_{K \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}}^D + T_{ins, K \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}}^D) \leq$$

$$\left(\sum_{a \in K \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}} (V_a^I - C_a^I) \right) - (T_{K \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}}^I + T_{ins, K \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}}^I). \quad (4)$$

Summary

- Introduce the first concepts of a cooperative game with multiple cooperations : recovery of all players, payoff, dominance between payoffs, core of the game and game stability.
- Characterize the elements of the classical core of the new game, Establish stability of cooperative game with multiple cooperations.
- Establish the conditions under which it would be in the insurer's interest to draw up each contract with a policyholder (individual contract) through an intermediary.

Open question

Study an insurance market with several insurance companies and several intermediaries.

Summary

- Introduce the first concepts of a cooperative game with multiple cooperations : recovery of all players, payoff, dominance between payoffs, core of the game and game stability.
- Characterize the elements of the classical core of the new game, Establish stability of cooperative game with multiple cooperations.
- Establish the conditions under which it would be in the insurer's interest to draw up each contract with a policyholder (individual contract) through an intermediary.

Open question

Study an insurance market with several insurance companies and several intermediaries.

References

- [1] Asimit A.V. and Boonen T.J. (2018) *Insurance with multiple insurers : A game-theoretic approach*. European Journal of Operational Research 267(2), 778-790.
- [2] Aumann R.J. and Dreze J.H. (1974) *Cooperative Games with Coalition Structures*. International journal of Game Theory 4, 217-237.
- [3] Bondareva O. (1962) The theory of the core in an n-person game. *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ* 13, 141-142.
- [4] Bondareva O.N. (1963) Some applications of linear programming methods to the theory of cooperative games. *Problemy kibernetiki* 10, 119-139.
- [5] Gillies D.B. (1953) Some theorems on n-person games. Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, Princeton, N.J.
- [6] Eckardt M. (2007) Insurance intermediation : An Economic Analysis of the Information Services Market, *In Contributions to Economics Series 22*, Springer, Physica-Verlag.

References

- [7] Dutang C., Albrecher H. and Loisel S. (2013), Competition among non-life insurers under solvency constraints : A game theoretic approach. *European Journal of Operational Research* 231, 703-711. *Formation*.
- [8] Kahan J.P. and Ammon R. (1984) *Theory of Coalition Formation*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- [9] Lemaire, J., 1991. Cooperative game theory and its insurance applications. *Astin Bulletin* 21, 17-40.
- [10] Shapley L. S. (1967) On balanced sets and cores. *Naval Research Logistics* (NRL) 14(4), 453-460.
- [11] Shapley L. and Vohra R. (1991) On Kakutani's fixed point theorem, the K-K-M-S theorem and the core of a balanced game. *Economic Theory* 1 (1) 108-116.
- [12] Suijs J., De Waegenaere A. and Borm P. (1998) Stochastic cooperative games in insurance. *Insurance : Mathematics and Economics* 22, 209-228.
- [13] Von Neumann J. and Morgenstern O. (1944) *Theory of games and economic behavior*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.

Thanks

- The World Academy of Sciences (TWAS)
- UNSW Business School
- ASTIN