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### Procedure Statement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>To provide clear guidelines on the Show Cause process for higher degree research (HDR) candidates.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>This procedure should be used by HDR candidates, and academic and professional staff managing HDR candidates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are Local Documents on this subject permitted?</td>
<td>☐ Yes; however Local Documents must be consistent with this University-wide Document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Procedure

1. **Introduction**

   A request to Show Cause is recognition that a higher degree research (HDR) candidate has reached a point where the University considers that the progress is no longer satisfactory and that it will not be possible to complete the degree under the current circumstances.

   The Show Cause request provides an opportunity for the candidate to demonstrate to the University that they have a detailed, feasible and supported plan to complete their research degree. It should be viewed as an opportunity to pause and reflect on how a candidature can be refocused and provide a pathway to completion.

   Candidates are requested to Show Cause in a letter from the Dean of Graduate Research. The candidate is asked to provide a formal written response which is assessed by the relevant Faculty Higher Degree Committee (the ‘Committee’).

   The Committee makes a recommendation to the Dean of Graduate Research as to whether the candidature should be discontinued, or whether the candidate should be given an opportunity to complete their candidature under strict conditions.

   The Dean of Graduate Research makes the final decision regarding the outcome of the case.

2. **Standard Show Cause Request Process**

   There are three standard pathways that can result in a candidate being asked to Show Cause:

   - unsatisfactory progress;
   - lapsed candidature; or
   - failure to successfully complete confirmation within the 1.25 FTE time period.

2.1. **Postgraduate Coordinator Recommendation**

   The Postgraduate Co-ordinator (PGC) submits to the Committee a Show Cause recommendation based on a reason listed in Section 2.

   As a minimum, this should include documentation of prior research progress reviews and related actions clearly outlining the following:

   a) The case history, including the remedial actions required, the milestones set and the dates by which the candidate was expected to achieve these actions and milestones;

   b) Candidate's progress against the remedial milestones set in prior reviews;

   c) Where the candidate has documented that they have experienced issues affecting their candidature as part of the progress review process, it is important that the School demonstrates how they have addressed these issues with the candidate;
d) Any consultation or communication with the supervisory team regarding the progress of the candidate, and
e) Panel's reasons for recommending Show Cause.

2.2. Higher Degree Committee Recommendation

The primary basis for the Committee's recommendation is assessment of academic progress of the candidate; however, it may also include consideration of whether the School has been consistent in their application of the procedures in their assessment of progress.

After considering all documentation, the Committee will either:

a) support the Show Cause recommendation, and refer the recommendation to the Dean of Graduate Research (see Section 2.3); or
b) not support the recommendation, in which case the Committee must propose to the Dean of Graduate Research the conditions that will be placed on the candidature. This must include an additional progress review within 3 months (FTE) against new milestones and/or completion of the thesis by a specific date.

2.3. Request to Show Cause from the Dean of Graduate Research

The Dean of Graduate Research will determine if the process leading to the Show Cause recommendation has been procedurally fair with reference to this procedure, and that other relevant elements of the UNSW HDR Policy Framework have been applied consistently.

If the recommendation of the Committee is accepted, the Dean of Graduate Research will notify the candidate in a letter that they are required to Show Cause why their candidature should not be discontinued. The letter will include the reasons noted by the Committee.

The Show Cause letter will be sent via email to the candidate's UNSW email address. It is the responsibility of the candidate to ensure that this email address is regularly checked.

The letter normally presents two options for the candidate to consider, which are either to respond to the Show Cause request within 20 working days, or to withdraw from the research degree. If submission of the thesis is possible within the 20 working days, then the candidate can do so. In such cases, if the supervisor’s certificate for the submitted thesis is signed, then a response to Show Cause is not required.

If the Dean of Graduate Research does not support the recommendation or concludes that the School and Faculty have not been procedurally fair to the candidate in their assessment of progress, new conditions will be placed on the candidature after consultation with the School and Faculty. These conditions may include an additional review against new milestones or completion of the thesis by a specific date. The candidate will be formally notified of these conditions.

3. Direct Show Cause Request Process

There are two situations where a candidate can be asked to Show Cause without consultation with the Faculty Higher Degree Committee:

- the candidate fails to submit by the end of 1.0 FTE of Overtime Enrolment; or
- non-attendance at a research progress review.
  - If a candidate has not attended a review, the PGC will contact the Candidature Management Officer (CMO) to discuss the case and notify the Dean.
  - Where the Dean of Graduate Research is convinced that the School has adhered to the Progress Review Procedure when requesting the progress review, the Dean will send a letter to the candidate outlining relevant policy obligations and conditions that (if not met) may result in a request to Show Cause.
  - Where the candidate does not meet these conditions, they will be asked to Show Cause by the Dean.

4. Matters the candidate should consider in preparing their response to Show Cause

It is critical that a candidate who receives a Show Cause letter consider the serious nature of the request and the potential for their candidature to be discontinued. Discontinuation of candidature results in exclusion of the candidate from the University and could preclude readmission.
The Show Cause process is an opportunity for candidates to pause and reflect on what they can do to refocus their candidature. However, it is acknowledged that the process can also be stressful. Candidates are encouraged to seek support from Arc UNSW Student Life and UNSW Psychology and Wellness services if required.

Candidates are expected to consult with their supervisors and PGC to develop a suitable response. They are also encouraged to seek independent advice on their response and proposed course of action - Arc UNSW Student Life provides advocacy services that can advise on the preparation of the formal response.

4.1. Withdrawal from candidature

Responding to the Show Cause letter is the final opportunity for candidates to make a case as to why they should be allowed to continue their research. If a candidate does not have a clear plan for how they will progress their research and achieve thesis submission they are strongly encouraged to withdraw from the degree.

Any candidate who chooses this option may apply for re-admission to the degree. This process is covered in the Admission to Higher Degree Research Programs Procedure.

5. Candidate's Response to a Show Cause Request

If the candidate decides to respond to the Show Cause request, they must do so in writing to the Dean of Graduate Research within 20 working days of receipt of the letter. If the candidate fails to respond by the due date, the candidature will be discontinued, and the candidate will be advised in writing of this by the Graduate Research School.

A candidate must consider the following key questions when preparing their response to a Show Cause request:

a. Have all issues that have impeded progress been declared as part of the Progress Review process? If not, they should be disclosed and an explanation for why the issues have impeded progress should be provided.

b. Is there a genuine reason to believe that previous barriers to progress are now able to be successfully managed or are no longer a concern?

c. Have all issues raised in the progress reviews been dealt with appropriately by the School or Faculty to mitigate these circumstances?

d. Is there a feasible plan to get the candidature on track and allow the candidate to make satisfactory progress?

The formal response must:

• systematically address the points raised in the Show Cause letter;

• provide any documentation requested (such as evidence of progress since the last review);

• provide documentation and supporting evidence for their case, which may relate to personal, technical, academic or other matters;

• detail how the barriers to satisfactory progress that they may have been experiencing are being addressed; and

• demonstrate their capacity to complete their research degree in a timely fashion by presenting a clear and compelling plan with timelines.

The candidate may raise any additional issues which they believe have not been adequately covered within the Research Progress Review process.

The candidate’s response will be referred to the Committee for their consideration.

6. Consideration of the Response to Show Cause by the Higher Degree Committee

The Committee will consider the candidate’s response to the Show Cause letter, along with any supporting documentation provided. The PGC will be asked to provide a recommendation for consideration by the Committee. The PGC should consult with the supervisory team when formulating their recommendation.

The Committee will assess whether the following have been addressed:

a) Candidate has satisfactorily addressed all the concerns raised in the Show Cause letter by addressing the issues and providing a clear, compelling plan for completion; and/or

b) Points raised by the candidate have been considered and appropriately addressed in the Research Progress Review process, in which case the matters cannot be considered new information and will not be considered; and/or
c) Candidate’s response raises sufficient new mitigating information that would justify their lack of progress and they have provided a clear, compelling plan for completion.

Based on the outcomes from these considerations, there are two possible recommendations from the Committee:

**Satisfactory Response.** If the Committee is satisfied with the material presented by the candidate in response to the Show Cause request, the candidature will be permitted to continue under specific conditions. These will include clear milestones that will be agreed in collaboration with the candidate and supervisors. The timeframe for achievement of these milestones will typically be one term if full-time, and two terms if part-time.

The candidate will be advised by the Dean of Graduate Research in writing of the decision and the conditions that must be met. At the end of the time period a Progress Review will be held. If the milestones are achieved, the candidature will be allowed to continue.

If the candidate fails to meet the agreed milestones at this review, then the candidature will be discontinued.

**Unsatisfactory Response.** If the Committee is not satisfied with the material presented by the candidate in response to the Show Cause request, then it will make a recommendation to the Dean of Graduate Research that the candidature be discontinued.

7. **Meeting with the Dean of Graduate Research**

Following the recommendation to discontinue candidature, the candidate will be invited to attend a meeting with the Dean of Graduate Research or delegate to discuss the outcome, and ongoing consequences of the recommendation. This is also a final opportunity for the candidate to discuss any outstanding matters they feel have not been addressed.

The Dean of Graduate Research will make the final decision as to whether to discontinue the candidature, and the candidate will be formally notified of this decision.

8. **Appeals**

Candidates have the opportunity to appeal the outcome on the basis of procedural fairness, and this process is outlined in the *Student Complaint Procedure.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accountabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Responsible Officer</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact Officer</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supporting Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legislative Compliance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parent Document (Policy and Procedure)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supporting Documents</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related Documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Conditions for Award of Doctor of Philosophy Policy</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Conditions for Award of Master of Philosophy Policy</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Variation of Candidature Procedure</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Admission to Higher Degree Research Programs Procedure</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Higher Degree Research Supervision Policy</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Higher Degree Research Supervision Procedure</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Student Complaint Procedure</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superseded Documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Show Cause for Research Candidates Guideline, v2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Definitions and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HDR</td>
<td>Higher Degree Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGC</td>
<td>Postgraduate Co-ordinator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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