

Geophysical Research Letters

RESEARCH LETTER

10.1029/2020GL090751

Key Points:

- Temperature trends on a western boundary shelf are 2× greater poleward of the separation point than equatorward of the separation point
- Equatorward of the separation point, mean kinetic energy increases, while poleward (downstream) eddy kinetic energy increases
- This latitudinal difference in warming is driven by increased eddy driven heat advection onto the shelf downstream of the separation point

Supporting Information:

- Supporting Information S1

Correspondence to:

N. Malan,
neilmalan@gmail.com

Citation:

Malan, N., Roughan, M., & Kerry, C. (2021). The rate of coastal temperature rise adjacent to a warming western boundary current is nonuniform with latitude. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48, e2020GL090751. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090751>

Received 9 SEP 2020

Accepted 11 DEC 2020

The Rate of Coastal Temperature Rise Adjacent to a Warming Western Boundary Current is Nonuniform with Latitude

Neil Malan^{1,2} , Moninya Roughan^{1,2} , and Colette Kerry^{1,2} 

¹School of Mathematics and Statistics, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia, ²Coastal and Regional Oceanography Lab, School of Mathematics and Statistics, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Abstract Western boundary currents (WBCs) have intensified and become more eddying in recent decades due to the spin-up of the ocean gyres, resulting in warmer open ocean temperatures. However, relatively little is known of how WBC intensification will affect temperatures in adjacent continental shelf waters where societal impact is greatest. We use the well-observed East Australian Current (EAC) to investigate WBC warming impacts on shelf waters and show that temperature increases are nonuniform in shelf waters along the latitudinal extent of the EAC. Shelf waters poleward of 32°S are warming more than twice as fast as those equatorward of 32°S. We show that nonuniform shelf temperature trends are driven by an increase in lateral heat advection poleward of the WBC separation, along Australia's most populous coastline. The large-scale nature of the process indicates that this is applicable to WBCs broadly, with far-reaching biological implications.

Plain Language Summary As the circulation in ocean basins intensifies, it causes changes in the currents on their western boundaries which carries heat toward the poles. While we know that this causes warming in the open ocean, knowledge of how these changes affect coastal and shelf regions is limited. Here, we use a suite of different observations and an ocean model to show that, off the coast of southeastern Australia, the coastal ocean is warming two or three times faster in areas poleward of where the East Australian Current separates from the coast than where the East Australia Current remains close to the coast. This is due to the shelf waters poleward of where the current separates from the coast receiving an increase in the amount of warm water being pushed onto them as the southern outflow of the East Australia Current becomes more turbulent and eddying. This warming is driven by large-scale changes in wind patterns, and so is likely to be common to other similar current systems. As coastal ecosystems are the most productive, we expect this nonuniform warming to have a widespread biological impact.

1. Introduction

1.1. Global Gyre Spin-Up and Impacts

Globally, oceanic kinetic energy has been increasing since the early 1990s (Hu et al., 2020), and the subtropical gyres, which act via their western boundary currents as the major driver of poleward heat, have intensified, extended poleward (Yang et al., 2015, 2020), and warmed (Wu et al., 2012). However, western boundary currents are highly nonlinear systems, and their response to the spin-up of the subtropical gyres is not completely understood (Beal & Elipot, 2016; Hutchinson et al., 2018; Imawaki et al., 2013). Furthermore, understanding changes in the interaction between western boundary currents and shelf waters is challenging, due to fine temporal and spatial scales and the energetic nature of the interactions. Thus, while there are several studies on warming within western boundary current extensions in the blue ocean (Chen et al., 2014; Williams 2012; Wu et al., 2012), there is little understanding of how continental shelf waters inshore of western boundary currents are responding to subtropical gyre intensification. This is partly due to a lack of suitable long-term observations (Shearman & Lentz, 2010) and the uncertainty in using satellite and reanalyzes products close to the coast in areas of strong velocity and temperature gradients.

1.2. South Pacific Gyre Spin-Up and Impacts

In response to the intensification of the south pacific gyre circulation, the East Australian Current (EAC) extension region has been warming at two to three times the global average since the early 1990s (Hu et al., 2015; Ridgway, 2007; Qu et al., 2019). However, with most attention on changes in the separation latitude and the nature of eddies in the EAC extension region (Cetina-Heredia et al., 2014; Oliver & Holbrook, 2014; Rykova & Oke, 2015), there has been little investigation into whether the effect of the spin-up on the EAC is homogeneous. Of the many studies that have investigated a system-wide temperature response to climate change, they mostly have global to basin-scale focus (Bowen et al., 2017; Bull et al., 2020; Duran et al., 2020; Oliver & Holbrook 2014; Wu et al., 2012) with little investigation into latitudinal dependence. A knowledge of this latitudinal dependence is particularly important considering the societal benefit derived from coastal and shelf waters particularly fisheries (Suthers et al., 2011), the large range of dynamical regimes, and the tropicalization of ecosystems (Messer et al., 2020; Vergés et al., 2014) occurring in WBCs, e.g., in the EAC between 26°S and 40°S.

1.3. EAC Shelf Temperature Trends

Previous studies of temperature trends in the EAC cover a very limited latitudinal range. (Thompson et al., 2009), using historical in-situ surface data found a coastal temperature trend of 0.7°C per century at Port Hacking (34°S) and 2°C per century at Maria Island (42°S). The warming trend at Maria Island has been attributed to changes to basin-scale wind forcing, and thus intensification of the EAC (Hill et al., 2008). Later work using coupled climate models suggest the much of this intensification may in fact be driven by regional changes in wind stress curl (Bull et al., 2020). Thus, there appears to be a link between the intensification of the subtropical gyre circulation and increasing temperature trends on the continental shelf. However, this is based on one observational site at 42°S (Hill et al., 2008; Ridgway, 2007; Shears & Bowen, 2017), at the southern most extent of the EAC extension far from the influence of the EAC jet itself. Moreover, there is little knowledge of the response of the EAC shelf system along its latitudinal range (greater than 2,000 km) to the intensification of the EAC.

1.4. Approach

Previous work looking at changes in coastal latitudinal temperature gradients (Baumann & Doherty, 2013) uses gridded temperature data which is too coarse to resolve western boundary shelf systems, where length scales are 10–40 km (Schaeffer et al., 2016). In the East Australian Current (EAC), the Integrated Marine Observing System has maintained an array of shelf moorings since 2008, along the length of the EAC, from 27°S to 42°S. Here, we use this long-term of in-situ temperature observations, long-term satellite-derived data sets and a multidecadal regional ocean model simulation to investigate the nature of change in the EAC system from 1993 to 2020. We focus on how large-scale changes in the dynamics affect temperatures in continental shelf waters between (26°S) and (42°S). While no single data set can fully represent the state of this narrow (<50 km) stretch of shelf water between the dynamic western boundary current and the coast, the integration of these different methods allows a robust view of changes in the system.

2. Data and Methods

2.1. Satellite Sea Surface Temperature

Satellite-derived foundation sea surface temperatures (SST) are obtained from the Group for High-Resolution Sea Surface Temperature (GHRSSST) Level 4 SST analysis produced at the Canadian Meteorological Center. This data set merges infrared satellite SST at varying points in the time series from the ATSR series of radiometers from ERS-1, ERS-2, and Envisat, AVHRR from NOAA-16, 17, 18, 19 and METOP-A, and microwave data from TMI, AMSR-E, and Windsat in conjunction with in-situ observations of SST from ships and drifting buoys. This product performs well when compared to other long-term gridded SST analyses (Fiedler et al., 2019), while still retaining sufficient resolution for use on the shelf. The spatial resolution at

Table 1

Metadata for In-Situ Temperature Observations Obtained at the Shelf Mooring Sites Along Southeastern Australia Between 2010 and 2017 (Latitude (°S), Mooring Depth (m) and Sensor Depth (m), Percentage Data Coverage for Each Moored Temperature Record)

Latitude (°S)	Mooring Depth (m)	Sensor Depth (m)	Data Coverage (%)	Corr. with SST	Moored Trend (°C/decade)	Satellite Trend (°C/decade)
27.34*	63	20	83	0.90	0.18 ± 0.11	0.49 ± 0.07
30.27	100	20	95	0.92	0.2 ± 0.10	0.16 ± 0.07
34.00	140	23	93	0.90	1.34 ± 0.10	1.65 ± 0.08
36.22*	120	19	73	0.93	2.30 ± 0.12	2.24 ± 0.10
42.60	90	20	96	0.98	1.03 ± 0.07	1.21 ± 0.08

Asterisks show mooring records which are slightly shorter at 27°S (December 2010) and 36°S (March 2011). Also shown are the correlations between the moored temperature data and satellite SST, the moored temperature trend at each location the time period 2010–2017, which is common to both the satellite SST and the moored observations, and the satellite SST trend for that same time period.

this latitude (19 km) is less than the shelf width at its narrowest and corresponds well to the decorrelation length scales of surface temperature as reported by (Schaeffer et al., 2016). Temperature time series for shelf waters are extracted by averaging satellite SST over a $0.2^\circ \times 0.3^\circ$ box around the shelf mooring locations shown in Table 1. SST trends are calculated from a linear regression of the daily means (from 1993 to 2017) after the climatological season cycle was removed. Significance is calculated at a 95% confidence interval using the Wald Test with t -distribution of the test statistic with each year being considered independent.

2.2. In-Situ Temperature Observations

To evaluate whether the trends derived from satellite SST are an appropriate representation of changes in temperatures along the shelf, we compare them with near-surface in-situ temperature observations from shelf moorings. Data are obtained from the Integrated Marine Observing System (IMOS) Australian National Mooring Network who maintain moorings at 27°S, 30°S, 34°S, 36°S, and 42°S. The moored temperature data records are at least a decade long, with the earliest record used here starting in 2008. Temporal sampling is 5 min to hourly since commencement, with “near-surface” records ranging 12–23 m below the surface in water depths of 63–140 m (see Table 1). Hence, they are extremely useful for validating the long-term shelf temperature trends observed by satellite over a large latitudinal range (~1,600 km).

2.3. Eddy and Mean Kinetic Energy

Sea surface height observations and derived geostrophic velocities (u and v) were obtained from the satellite altimetry product distributed by IMOS (accessed at portal.aodn.org.au). This product merges satellite altimetry with sea level elevation measurements from coastal tide gauges (Deng et al., 2011) with a spatial resolution of 0.2° . The eddy and mean kinetic energy (EKE and MKE, shown in Figure 2) were calculated for the period 1993–2017. EKE is defined as $(u'^2 + v'^2)/2$, where $u' = u - \bar{u}$ and $v' = v - \bar{v}$; $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ being the annual mean for each individual year. MKE is defined as $(\bar{u}^2 + \bar{v}^2) / 2$. The time series for EKE are extracted from boxes $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$, which are larger than for SST, due to the lower resolution of the altimetry. Trends and statistical significance are calculated as detailed for SST above, but on annual means.

2.4. Heat Budget

A regional hydrodynamic model of the EAC system (EAC-ROMS; Kerry & Roughan, 2020a, 2020b) is used to further our understanding of the drivers of temperature on the shelf adjacent to the EAC. The model uses the Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS) and the configuration has been used in previous studies of the EAC system (Kerry & Roughan, 2020a; Kerry et al., 2016, 2020; Phillips et al., 2020; Rocha et al., 2019;

Schilling et al., 2020). The domain extends from 25.3°S to 38.5°S, and from the coast to ~1,000 km offshore. The grid is rotated 20° clockwise so as to be aligned with the shelf edge. Spatial resolution is 5 km in the along-shelf direction and 2.5 km in the cross-shelf direction, giving a good representation of the hydrodynamics of the shelf waters inshore of the EAC. The model runs from 1994 to 2016. Full details of the model setup and validation can be found in Kerry et al. (2016) and Kerry and Roughan (2020a). The model reproduces the latitudinal gradient in satellite SST trends, as shown in Figure S1 and Table S1. EAC-ROMS provides a dynamically consistent high-resolution framework with which to assess the varied contributions of lateral advection of heat and surface heat flux to temperature changes in shelf waters.

We investigate the heat budget in EAC-ROMS to assess changes in both atmospheric forcing and oceanic heat advection in shelf waters following previous studies (Colas et al., 2012; Tamsitt et al., 2016; Zaba et al., 2020). The depth-averaged shelf heat budget can be simplified to:

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = ADV + Qs, \quad (1)$$

where the temperature tendency $\frac{dT}{dt}$ (from here on referred to as TEND) is a function of the lateral heat transport (ADV —from advection plus a small contribution from horizontal diffusion and mixing) and the flux of heat between ocean and atmosphere (Qs , referred to as SURF). Use of the online diagnostic terms in the model enables the budget to close, as by design the model satisfies the heat conservation equations. Temperature tendency can be represented for volume V as the spatially and depth integrated rate of change of each term in a box as:

$$\underbrace{\iiint_V \frac{dT}{dt}}_{\text{TEND}} = \underbrace{\iiint_V (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T) dV + T_{diff}}_{\text{ADV}} + \underbrace{\iint_A Qs}_{\text{SURF}} \quad (2)$$

These terms are calculated at each of the four shelf sites in a $0.2^\circ \times 0.3^\circ$ box colocated with the mooring and satellite data. Median depths of each box are 27°S:264 m, 30°S:181 m, 34°S:164 m and 36°S:206 m.

3. Results

3.1. Sea Surface Temperature Trends

Spatial maps of satellite SST trends in the Tasman Sea/EAC region (Figure 1b) show significant temperature increases poleward of 30°S since 1991. These are initially confined along the coast, but poleward of 34°S temperature increases extend offshore into the Tasman Sea. The strongest warming, greater than 0.5°C/decade, is along the coast between 32°S and 38°S, and also at 40°S to the east of Tasmania (Figure 1b). To explore trends over the continental shelf, we examine SST time series extracted from $0.2^\circ \times 0.3^\circ$ boxes colocated with shelf mooring sites (colored boxes marked in Figure 1a). Here, we see the rate of warming increases with latitude. At 27°S and 30°S, the linear SST trends are $0.16 \pm 0.03^\circ\text{C}/\text{decade}$ and $0.23 \pm 0.03^\circ\text{C}/\text{decade}$, respectively, between 1991 and 2017. Downstream of the mean EAC separation point, at 34°S, 36°S, and 42°S, SST has increased at $\sim 0.5 \pm 0.03^\circ\text{C}/\text{decade}$ between 1991 and 2017 (Figure 1c).

The comprehensive shelf mooring array off southeast Australia allows a similar latitudinal analysis to be performed using in-situ shelf temperature observations. Despite the relatively shorter time series, gaps in the record, and assorted start dates and measurement depths of the shelf mooring sites (Table 1), correlations are high. Correlation coefficients between daily satellite SSTs (averaged over a $0.2^\circ \times 0.3^\circ$ box around the mooring location) and in-situ moored observations are 0.9 or greater at all sites. As the moored observations begin more recently than the other time series, they show stronger trends, due to warming accelerating over time.

Temperature trends calculated from the moored temperature observations follow the same broad pattern as the satellite SSTs. Poleward sites (34°S, 36°S, and 42°S) are warming at approximately two to three times the rate of the equatorward sites at 27°S and 30°S.

As with both the satellite SST and moored in-situ temperatures, depth-averaged shelf temperature trends from 1994 to 2016 from the EAC-ROMS model follow the same pattern where sites poleward of the EAC sep-




Figure 1. (a) Schematic of East Australian Current system, with mooring site locations marked by colored stars, (b) decadal trend of satellite-derived SST where stippling indicates significance at 95% confidence interval, (c) time series of 2 years low-pass filtered satellite-derived SST and decadal linear trend at shelf mooring locations as shown by the colored boxes in (a) and (b): red 27°S, orange 30°S, green 34°S, blue 36°S, and purple 42°S. All trends in bold are significant for a 95% confidence interval. SST, sea surface temperatures.

aration point have warmed more than twice as fast as those equatorward of separation. At 27°S, the depth-averaged warming rate is 0.07°/decade, at 30°S 0.12°/decade, at 34°S 0.37°/decade, and at 36°S 0.29°/decade. EAC-ROMS trends of temperature taken at 10 m depth are shown in Table 1 for comparison with satellite SST.

3.2. Trends in Kinetic Energy

With previous work having linked warming in the Tasman Sea to an intensification of the EAC system (Hill et al., 2008), we now investigate changes in the mesoscale circulation as a possible driver of shelf water temperature change over 25 years from 1993 to 2017. There are significant increases in sea level anomaly (SLA) throughout most of the domain (Figure 2a), with the largest increasing trends occurring in roughly circular structures in the western Tasman Sea at 35°S and 39°S.

Decomposing these sea level changes into MKE and EKE trends is revealing. EKE has increased significantly (significance marked by cross hatching) downstream of the typical EAC separation point at 32°S. There is a small decrease in EKE at the offshore edge of the main EAC jet, but it is not significant (Figure 2b). There are increases in MKE along the full length of the EAC between 28°S and 36°S, which points to an increase in both the strength of the EAC jet itself, and EAC separation occurring progressively further poleward (in agreement with Cetina-Heredia et al. (2014)). In EAC-ROMS, we see broad agreement with the satellite observations. The model shows a reduction in EKE in the EAC eastern extension and an increase in EKE in the EAC southern extension, south of separation (Figure S1).

Thus, while the main EAC jet is intensifying between 28°S and 34°S, the warming trends over the adjacent shelf are low (0.16–0.23°/decade, Figure 1c and Table 1). The region where shelf temperatures are warming the fastest (0.5 °/decade), i.e., south of 34°S, is where there are significant increases in EKE both offshore, and over the shelf. This is consistent with Cetina-Heredia et al. (2014) who showed an increase in eddy driven poleward transport in the EAC system since 2010 and in line with modeled projections for an increase in the strength of the EAC's southern extension (Oliver & Holbrook, 2014).




Figure 2. Decadal trend (from 1993 to 2017) in (a) sea level anomaly, (b) mean kinetic energy, (c) Eddy kinetic energy, with anomalies extracted over colored boxes for (d) time series of eddy kinetic energy anomalies (5 years Hanning filter, seasonal cycle removed).

While the case for a stronger, more eddying EAC extension driving warming in the Tasman Sea broadly is relatively well established, the drivers of shelf temperature trends appear to be more nuanced. On the shelf, shallow bathymetry can limit the influence of large mesoscale eddies, and upwelling dynamics are complex (Roughan & Middleton 2002; Schaeffer et al., 2013). It is possible that the impact of the intensification of the EAC system on shelf temperatures between 28°S and 30°S could be reduced due to the EAC jet driving upslope bottom boundary layer transport, which has a cooling effect on the shelf (Archer et al., 2017). Conversely, poleward of separation the increase in eddy activity could result in increased cross-shelf transport of warm offshore water onto the shelf (Cetina-Heredia et al., 2019; Malan et al., 2020), thus driving the faster warming rate at 34°S and 36°S.

3.3. Linkages Between Shelf Temperature and Kinetic Energy Trends

In order to understand the drivers of the observed warming gradient along the southeast Australian coastline, heat budget terms from the EAC-ROMS simulation are examined at each shelf box over the 22-year period. At 27°S, 30°S, 34°S, and 36°S, the depth-averaged terms are calculated over a $0.2^\circ \times 0.3^\circ$ box, co-located with the mooring and satellite SST time series (Figure 1b). As expected in a western boundary current system, at all sites advection warms the water column (positive dT/dt), while surface heat fluxes from ocean to atmosphere cool the water column (negative dT/dt). The mean advective heat flux is smallest at 27°S, where the EAC is at its most stable (standard error is also smallest). Advection driven heat fluxes




Figure 3. Time and area-averaged terms in the depth-averaged heat budget (advection and surface flux) calculated from EAC-ROMS output over the shelf at 27°S, 30°S, 34°S, and 36°S, period 1994–2016. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. EAC-ROMS, East Australian Current-Regional Ocean Modeling System.

increase poleward, nearly doubling at 34°S, in the EAC separation zone, before decreasing at 36°S. This advective heating is countered by the surface heat flux from ocean to atmosphere. The surface heat flux trend is negative (i.e., more heat being transferred from ocean to atmosphere) at all sites, except for 30°S, where it is positive at 0.2°/decade, explaining the slight warming there despite a decrease in the advective heat flux. As the surface heat flux trend opposes the advective heat flux trend at all sites, it appears that advection is the main driver of these trends. It is considered unlikely that changes in surface heat flux could drive significant changes in advection in this kind of high energy western boundary current regime. It should be noted that the advective heat flux in our shelf boxes has a high level of variability at all latitudes (greatest at 34°S) indicative of the variable nature of the EAC, as shown by the large 95% confidence interval error bars in Figure 3, while surface heat fluxes are less variable.

Investigating the warming trend over the two decades shows that trends in the temperature rate of change driven by advection are weak at 27°S and 30°S (upstream of the EAC separation), but increase downstream of the EAC separation to 0.44°/decade at 34°S and 0.34°/decade at 36°S (Figure 4). Thus, it would appear from both satellite observations and the model that an intensification of the mean flow of the EAC does not result in rapid warming of shelf waters, while an increase in eddy activity does. At the event scale, this increase in the advection of warm EAC water onto the shelf via an intensification of the eddying EAC southern extension has also been identified as a possible driver of marine heat-wave events (Oliver et al., 2017; Schaeffer & Roughan, 2017).

4. Discussion and Conclusions

As the EAC system intensifies, the main EAC jet appears to be strengthening, while the southern extension is becoming more eddying. Using a satellite SST product, in-situ moored observations, and a regional ocean model, we have shown there is a clear latitudinal gradient in ocean warming on the continental shelf. The presence of this latitudinal gradient is also visible in broader-scale trend maps of SST around Australia produced using different satellite data sets (Foster et al., 2014; Wijffels et al., 2018). Shelf waters poleward of where the EAC separates from the coast are warming at least twice the rate of those equatorward of the separation. This is associated with a strengthening in the main EAC jet upstream of the separation point and an increase in eddy activity downstream of separation. Thus, it would appear that poleward of separation, despite the complex cross-shelf exchange dynamics, the increased advection of warmer EAC water onto the shelf is overriding local processes such as upwelling and leading to a regional warming trend.

The higher rate of warming which we observe at 34°S and 42°S, compared to an earlier study by Thompson et al. (2009), points toward an increase in the warming velocity at these sites which is consistent with global ocean warming trends (Cheng et al., 2019). However, the length of the mooring time series presented here do not allow us to test whether this acceleration is statistically robust. The ability of the EAC-ROMS model to simulate the nonuniform warming of the shelf waters also leads to confidence that the trends are being driven by system-scale processes, rather than, e.g., small-scale changes in local upwelling winds which are not fully resolved in the model.

The warming on the shelf in the eddying EAC southern extension is consistent with the increase in poleward penetration of the EAC and its eddies (Cetina-Heredia et al., 2014) and a projected increase in eddy driven poleward heat transport (Oliver et al., 2015) likely driving marine heatwaves in the area (Oliver et al., 2017). An increase in warm EAC water has already been seen to extend the ocean “summer” by up to 2 months at 36°S (Phillips et al., 2020), and is having negative consequences for foraging marine predators such as penguins (Carroll et al., 2016). In a global context, when compared to a global mean warming rate




Figure 4. Time series of 2 years low-pass filtered daily area-averaged heat budget terms from EAC-ROMS for shelf boxes at 27°S, 30°S, 34°S, and 36°S. Decadal trends for depth-averaged temperature and heat advection are shown for each box. EAC-ROMS, East Australian Current-Regional Ocean Modeling System.

of $0.12^{\circ}/\text{decade}$ from 1995 to 2015 (Hausfather et al., 2017), the warming we observe at 27°S is close to the global average, while the shelf warming poleward of separation in the EAC system is more than four times the global average.

Due to the large-scale forcing mechanism, we believe that the pattern of nonuniform warming may be common to other coastal regions impacted by western boundary current systems. The shelf temperature trends we observe in the EAC system are consistent with those observed inshore of the Gulf Stream (Shearman & Lentz, 2010). They ascribe the difference in trends up and downstream of separation, as we have in the EAC, to changes in along-shelf heat advection, rather than atmospheric changes. Our results support the need for a whole of systems approach to observing and modeling boundary currents along both the length of the system and from the coast to the deep ocean.

Shelf waters and continental margins make up a large part of the primary production and fisheries output in the global ocean (Schmidt et al., 2020). However, most studies on the biological impact of a warming ocean (e.g., Free et al., 2019; Jacox et al., 2020; Ramírez et al., 2017; Vergés et al., 2014) take trends from data sets too coarse to resolve either shelf trends (Baumann & Doherty, 2013) or extreme events (Pilo et al., 2019). This is especially true in western boundary systems where (cross-shelf) spatial scales are small. This has led to the assumption that warming in western boundary current systems is homogeneous, rather than the

more nuanced, nonuniform trends shown here. This results in a possible overestimation of shelf warming trends in some areas and underestimation in others. As such, spatially resolved studies of warming adjacent to WBCs will enhance our ability to understand the evolution of shelf ecosystems under a warming climate.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Ryan Holmes for his insights and fruitful discussions on the nuances of detailed heat budgets. CMC Satellite SST <https://doi.org/10.5067/GHCMC-4FM02> is available from <https://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/dataset/CMC0.2deg-CMC-L4-GLOB-v2.0>. The EAC-ROMS output <https://doi.org/10.26190/5e683944e1369> Kerry and Roughan (2020b) can be accessed at <https://researchdata.anders.org.au/high-resolution-22-ocean-modelling/1446725>. All other data used in this study can be found at the Australian Ocean Data Network portal <https://portal.aodn.org.au/>. The EAC-ROMS model development was partially funded by Australian Research Council projects DP140102337 and LP160100162. This research was partially supported by the Australian Research Council Industry Linkage grant LP170100498 to M. Roughan and C. Kerry. Australia's Integrated Marine Observing System (IMOS) is enabled by the national collaborative research infrastructure (NCRIS), supported by the Australian Government. It is operated by a consortium of institutions as an unincorporated joint venture, with the University of Tasmania as Lead Agent www.imos.org.au.

References

- Archer, M. R., Roughan, M., Keating, S. R., & Schaeffer, A. (2017). On the variability of the East Australian Current: Jet structure, meandering, and influence on shelf circulation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 122, 8464–8481. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC013097>
- Baumann, H., & Doherty, O. (2013). Decadal changes in the World's coastal latitudinal temperature gradients. *PLoS ONE*, 8(6), e67596. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0067596>
- Beal, L. M., & Elipot, S. (2016). Broadening not strengthening of the Agulhas Current since the early 1990s. *Nature*, 540(1), 570–573. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19853>
- Bowen, M., Markham, J., Sutton, P., Zhang, X., Wu, Q., Shears, N. T., & Fernandez, D. (2017). Interannual variability of sea surface temperature in the southwest Pacific and the role of ocean dynamics. *Journal of Climate*, 30(18), 7481–7492. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0852.1>
- Bull, C. Y. S., Kiss, A. E., Gupta, A. S., Jourdain, N. C., Argüeso, D., Di Luca, A., & Sérazin, G. (2020). Regional versus remote atmosphere-ocean drivers of the rapid projected intensification of the East Australian Current. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 125, e2019JC015889. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019jc015889>
- Carroll, G., Everett, J. D., Harcourt, R., Slip, D., & Jonsen, I. (2016). High sea surface temperatures driven by a strengthening current reduce foraging success by penguins. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 22236. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22236>
- Cetina-Heredia, P., Roughan, M., Liggins, G., Coleman, M. A., & Jeffs, A. (2019). Mesoscale circulation determines broad spatio-temporal settlement patterns of lobster. *PLoS ONE*, 14(2), e0211722. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211722>
- Cetina-Heredia, P., Roughan, M., van Sebille, E., & Coleman, M. A. (2014). Long-term trends in the East Australian Current separation latitude and eddy driven transport. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 119, 4351–4366. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010071>
- Chen, K., Gawarkiewicz, G. G., Lentz, S. J., & Bane, J. M. (2014). Diagnosing the warming of the Northeastern U.S. Coastal Ocean in 2012: A linkage between the atmospheric jet stream variability and ocean response. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 119, 218–227. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009393>
- Cheng, L., Abraham, J., Hausfather, Z., & Trenberth, K. E. (2019). How fast are the oceans warming? *Science*, 363(6423), 128–129. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav7619>
- Colas, F., McWilliams, J. C., Capet, X., & Kurian, J. (2012). Heat balance and eddies in the Peru-Chile current system. *Climate Dynamics*, 39(1–2), 509–529. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-011-1170-6>
- Deng, X., Griffin, D. A., Ridgway, K., Church, J. A., Featherstone, W. E., White, N. J., & Cahill, M. (2011). Satellite altimetry for geodetic, oceanographic, and climate studies in the Australian Region. In S. Vignudelli, A. Kostianoy, P. Cipollini, & J. Benveniste (Eds.), *Coastal altimetry* (pp. 473–508). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12796-0_18
- Duran, E., England, M., & Spence, P. (2020). Surface ocean warming around Australia driven by interannual variability and long-term trends in Southern Hemisphere westerlies. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47, e2019GL086605. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019gl086605>
- Fiedler, E. K., McLaren, A., Banzon, V., Brasnett, B., Ishizaki, S., Kennedy, J., et al. (2019). Intercomparison of long-term sea surface temperature analyses using the GHRST Multi-Product Ensemble (GMPE) system. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 222, 18–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.12.015>
- Foster, S. D., Griffin, D. A., & Dunstan, P. K. (2014). Twenty years of high-resolution sea surface temperature imagery around Australia: Inter-annual and annual variability. *PLoS ONE*, 9(7), e100762. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100762>
- Free, C., Thorson, J., Pinsky, M., Oken, K., Weidenmann, J., & Jensen, O. (2019). Impacts of historical warming on marine fisheries production. *Science*, 365(6454), 979–983. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax5721>
- Hausfather, Z., Cowtan, K., Clarke, D. C., Jacobs, P., Richardson, M., & Rohde, R. (2017). Assessing recent warming using instrumentally homogeneous sea surface temperature records. *Science Advances*, 3(1), e1601207. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1601207>
- Hill, K. L., Rintoul, S. R., Coleman, R., & Ridgway, K. R. (2008). Wind forced low frequency variability of the East Australia Current. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, L08602. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL032912>
- Hu, D., Wu, L., Cai, W., Gupta, A. S., Ganachaud, A., Qiu, B., et al. (2015). Pacific western boundary currents and their roles in climate. *Nature*, 522, 299–308. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14504>
- Hu, S., Sprintall, J., Guan, C., McPhaden, M. J., Wang, F., Hu, D., & Cai, W. (2020). Deep-reaching acceleration of global mean ocean circulation over the past two decades. *Science Advances*, 6(6), eaax7727. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax7727>
- Hutchinson, K., Beal, L. M., Penven, P., Anson, I., & Hermes, J. (2018). Seasonal phasing of Agulhas Current transport tied to a baroclinic adjustment of near-field winds. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 123, 7067–7083. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014319>
- Imawaki, S., Bower, A. S., Beal, L., & Qiu, B. (2013). Western boundary currents. In G. Siedler, S. M. Griffies, J. Gould, & J. A. Church (Eds.), *Ocean Circulation and Climate: A 21st Century Perspective* (pp. 305–338). Academic Press.
- Jacox, M. G., Alexander, M. A., Bograd, S. J., & Scott, J. D. (2020). Thermal displacement by marine heatwaves. *Nature*, 584(7819), 82–86. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2534-z>
- Kerry, C., Powell, B., Roughan, M., & Oke, P. (2016). Development and evaluation of a high-resolution reanalysis of the East Australian Current region using the Regional Ocean Modelling System (ROMS 3.4) and Incremental Strong-Constraint 4-Dimensional Variational (IS4D-Var) data assimilation. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9, 3779–3801. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3779-2016>
- Kerry, C., & Roughan, M. (2020a). Downstream evolution of the East Australian Current system: Mean flow, seasonal, and intra-annual variability. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 125, e2019JC015227. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019jc015227>
- Kerry, C., & Roughan, M. (2020b). A high-resolution, 22-year, free-running, hydrodynamic simulation of the east Australia current system using the regional ocean modeling system. <https://doi.org/10.26190/5e683944e1369>
- Kerry, C., Roughan, M., & Powell, B. (2020). Predicting the submesoscale circulation inshore of the East Australian Current. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 204, 103286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2019.103286>
- Malan, N., Archer, M., Roughan, M., Cetina-heredia, P., Hemming, M., Rocha, C., et al. (2020). Eddy-driven cross-shelf transport in the East Australian Current separation zone. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 125, e2019JC015613. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015613>

- Messer, L. F., Ostrowski, M., Doblin, M. A., Petrou, K., Baird, M. E., Ingleton, T., et al. (2020). Microbial tropicalization driven by a strengthening western ocean boundary current. *Global Change Biology*, 26(10), 5613–5629. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15257>
- Oliver, E., Benthuisen, J. A., Bindoff, N. L., Hobday, A. J., Holbrook, N. J., Mundy, C. N., & Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E. (2017). The unprecedented 2015/16 Tasman Sea marine heatwave. *Nature Communications*, 8, 16101. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms16101>
- Oliver, E., & Holbrook, N. J. (2014). Extending our understanding of South Pacific gyre “spin-up”: Modeling the East Australian Current in a future climate. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 119, 2788–2805. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009591>
- Oliver, E., O’Kane, T. J., & Holbrook, N. J. (2015). Projected changes to Tasman Sea eddies in a future climate. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 120, 7150–7165. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC010993>
- Phillips, L. R., Carroll, G., Jonsen, I., Harcourt, R., & Roughan, M. (2020). A water mass classification approach to tracking variability in the East Australian Current. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7, 365. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00365>
- Pilo, G. S., Holbrook, N. J., Kiss, A. E., & Hogg, A. M. C. (2019). Sensitivity of marine heatwave metrics to ocean model resolution. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46, 14604–14612. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL084928>
- Qu, T., Fukumori, I., & Fine, R. A. (2019). Spin-up of the southern hemisphere super gyre. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 124, 154–170. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014391>
- Ramírez, F., Afán, I., Davis, L. S., & Chiaradia, A. (2017). Climate impacts on global hot spots of marine biodiversity. *Science Advances*, 3(2), e1601198. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1601198>
- Ridgway, K. R. (2007). Long-term trend and decadal variability of the southward penetration of the East Australian Current. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34, L13613. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL030393>
- Rocha, C., Edwards, C. A., Roughan, M., Cetina-Heredia, P., & Kerry, C. (2019). A high-resolution biogeochemical model (ROMS 3.4 + bio_Fennel) of the East Australian Current system. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 12, 441–456. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-441-2019>
- Roughan, M., & Middleton, J. H. (2002). A comparison of observed upwelling mechanisms off the east coast of Australia. *Continental Shelf Research*, 22(17), 2551–2572. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4343\(02\)00101-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4343(02)00101-2)
- Rykova, T., & Oke, P. R. (2015). Recent freshening of the East Australian Current and its eddies. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42, 9369–9378. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066050>
- Schaeffer, A., & Roughan, M. (2017). Subsurface intensification of marine heatwaves off southeastern Australia: The role of stratification and local winds. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 5025–5033. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073714>
- Schaeffer, A., Roughan, M., Jones, E. M., & White, D. (2016). Physical and biogeochemical spatial scales of variability in the East Australian Current separation from shelf glider measurements. *Biogeosciences*, 13(6), 1967–1975. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-1967-2016>
- Schaeffer, A., Roughan, M., & Morris, B. D. (2013). Cross-shelf dynamics in a western boundary current regime: Implications for upwelling. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 43(5), 1042–1059. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-12-0177.1>
- Schilling, H. T., Everett, J. D., Smith, J. A., Stewart, J., Hughes, J. M., Roughan, M., et al. (2020). Multiple spawning events promote increased larval dispersal of a predatory fish in a western boundary current. *Fisheries Oceanography*, 29(4), 309–323. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12473>
- Schmidt, K., Birchill, A. J., Atkinson, A., Brewin, R. J., Clark, J. R., Hickman, A. E., et al. (2020). Increasing picocyanobacteria success in shelf waters contributes to long-term food web degradation. *Global Change Biology*, 26(10), 5574–5587. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15161>
- Shearman, R. K., & Lentz, S. J. (2010). Long-term sea surface temperature variability along the U.S. East Coast. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 40(5), 1004–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JPO4300.1>
- Shears, N. T., & Bowen, M. M. (2017). Half a century of coastal temperature records reveal complex warming trends in western boundary currents. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 14527. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14944-2>
- Suthers, I. M., Young, J. W., Baird, M. E., Roughan, M., Everett, J. D., Brassington, G. B., et al. (2011). The strengthening East Australian Current, its eddies and biological effects—An introduction and overview. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 58(5), 538–546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.09.029>
- Tamsitt, V., Talley, L. D., Mazloff, M. R., & Cervecki, I. (2016). Zonal variations in the Southern Ocean heat budget. *Journal of Climate*, 29(18), 6563–6579. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0630.1>
- Thompson, P. A., Baird, M. E., Ingleton, T., & Doblin, M. A. (2009). Long-term changes in temperate Australian coastal waters: Implications for phytoplankton. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 394, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08297>
- Vergés, A., Steinberg, P. D., Hay, M. E., Poore, A. G. B., Campbell, A. H., Ballesteros, E., et al. (2014). The tropicalization of temperate marine ecosystems: Climate-mediated changes in herbivory and community phase shifts. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 281(1789), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.0846>
- Wijffels, S. E., Beggs, H., Griffin, C., Middleton, J. F., Cahill, M., King, E., et al. (2018). A fine spatial-scale sea surface temperature atlas of the Australian regional seas (SSTAARS): Seasonal variability and trends around Australasia and New Zealand revisited. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 187, 156–196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2018.07.005>
- Williams, R. G. (2012). Oceanography: Centennial warming of ocean jets. *Nature Climate Change*, 2(3), 149–150. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1393>
- Wu, L., Cai, W., Zhang, L., Nakamura, H., Timmermann, A., Joyce, T., et al. (2012). Enhanced warming over the global subtropical western boundary currents. *Nature Climate Change*, 2(3), 161–166. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1353>
- Yang, H., Lohmann, G., Krebs-Kanzow, U., Ionita, M., Shi, X., Sidorenko, D., et al. (2020). Poleward shift of the major ocean gyres detected in a warming climate. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47, e2019GL085868. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085868>
- Yang, H., Lohmann, G., Wei, W., Dima, M., & Liu, J. (2015). Intensification and poleward shift of subtropical western boundary currents under global warming. *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, 17, 3734.
- Zaba, K., Rudnick, D. L., Cornuelle, B., Gopalakrishnan, G., & Mazloff, M. (2020). Volume and heat budgets in the coastal California Current System: Means, annual cycles and interannual anomalies of 2014–2016. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 50, 1435–1453. <https://doi.org/10.1175/jpo-d-19-0271.1>